

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of:

**Miika Silfverberg et al.**

Serial No.: 09/944,165

Filed: September 4, 2001

For: ZOOMING AND PANNING  
CONTENT ON A DISPLAY SCREEN

Atty. Docket No.: 004770.00018

Group Art Unit: 2673

Examiner: Shapiro, Leonid

Confirmation No.: 9859

**DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.131**The Honorable Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231**RECEIVED**

JUL 29 2004

Technology Center 2600

Sir:

We, Miika Silfverberg (Finnish), Panu Korhonen (Finnish), and Ian Scott MacKenzie  
(Canadian), hereby declare that:

- 1) We are the joint inventors of the above-captioned application;
- 2) Prior to March 30, 2001, the filing date of U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2002/0140680 A1 (hereinafter "Lu"), we conceived of the invention recited in claims 1-27 of the above-captioned application, at least to the extent the claims are allegedly taught by Lu.
- 3) We prepared a disclosure document (copy attached hereto as Exhibit A) of an embodiment of the invention.
- 4) The dates redacted from Exhibit A are prior to March 30, 2001.
- 5) We submitted our invention report registration to the Internal Patent Committee of our employer, Nokia Corp., prior to March 30, 2001. A copy of the registration confirmation is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 6) The date redacted from Exhibit B is prior to March 30, 2001.

Serial No. 09/944,165

- 7) The Internal Patent Committee evaluates and processes received invention reports on a first come-first serve basis. After receiving an invention report, the Internal Patent Committee performs a patent search for relevant prior art in order to facilitate the patent filing decision. If a decision is made to proceed with the preparation of a patent application based on the invention report, the invention is assigned a rating from 0 to 5 based on the potential value of a resulting patent, and an instruction letter is sent to an outside counsel, with the invention report, requesting preparation of a patent application for the invention.
- 8) The invention report attached as Exhibit A was sent to our patent attorney, Mr. Bradley C. Wright of the law firm Banner & Witcoff, Ltd., on June 1, 2001, as evidenced by the email communication attached as Exhibit C.
- 9) On June 29, 2001, Ross Dannenberg (also an attorney with Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.) sent a draft of the above-captioned patent application to our employer for our review. A copy of the email communicating the draft is attached as Exhibit D.
- 10) On August 27, 2001 Ross Dannenberg sent a revised draft of the above-captioned patent application. A copy of the email communicating the revised draft is attached as Exhibit E.
- 11) On September 4, 2001, the above-captioned patent application was filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- 12) The submission of the invention disclosure (Exhibit A) to our Internal Patent Committee, the registration of our invention report (Exhibit B) with the Committee and the processing of the invention report through the Internal Patent

Atty. Dkt. No. 004770.00018

- 3 -


Serial No. 09/944,165

Committee's patent filing system demonstrate diligence from before March 30, 2001 until the filing of the above-captioned patent application and the constructive reduction to practice of our invention.

- 13) All acts referred to in this Declaration were performed either in the United States, or in a WTO member country.
- 14) The attached Exhibits have not been altered since they were originally submitted to the Patent Committee or otherwise prepared or communicated and any marginalia on the exhibits was contemporaneously written upon receipt of the exhibit in question; and
- 15) We declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the United States of America that statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Miika Silfverberg

  
Panu Korhonen

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ian Scott MacKenzie

June 11, 2004  
Date

June 14, 2004  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of:

**Miika Silfverberg et al.**

Serial No.: 09/944,165

Filed: September 4, 2001

For: ZOOMING AND PANNING  
CONTENT ON A DISPLAY SCREEN

Atty. Docket No.: 004770.00018

Group Art Unit: 2673

Examiner: Shapiro, Leonid

Confirmation No.: 9859

**DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.131**The Honorable Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

We, Miika Silfverberg (Finnish), Panu Korhonen (Finnish), and Ian Scott MacKenzie  
(Canadian), hereby declare that:

- 1) We are the joint inventors of the above-captioned application;
- 2) Prior to March 30, 2001, the filing date of U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2002/0140680 A1 (hereinafter "Lu"), we conceived of the invention recited in claims 1-27 of the above-captioned application, at least to the extent the claims are allegedly taught by Lu.
- 3) We prepared a disclosure document (copy attached hereto as Exhibit A) of an embodiment of the invention.
- 4) The dates redacted from Exhibit A are prior to March 30, 2001.
- 5) We submitted our invention report registration to the Internal Patent Committee of our employer, Nokia Corp., prior to March 30, 2001. A copy of the registration confirmation is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 6) The date redacted from Exhibit B is prior to March 30, 2001.

Serial No. 09/944,165

- 2 -

Atty. Dkt. No. 004770.00018

- 7) The Internal Patent Committee evaluates and processes received invention reports on a first come-first serve basis. After receiving an invention report, the Internal Patent Committee performs a patent search for relevant prior art in order to facilitate the patent filing decision. If a decision is made to proceed with the preparation of a patent application based on the invention report, the invention is assigned a rating from 0 to 5 based on the potential value of a resulting patent, and an instruction letter is sent to an outside counsel, with the invention report, requesting preparation of a patent application for the invention.
- 8) The invention report attached as Exhibit A was sent to our patent attorney, Mr. Bradley C. Wright of the law firm Banner & Witcoff, Ltd., on June 1, 2001, as evidenced by the email communication attached as Exhibit C.
- 9) On June 29, 2001, Ross Dannenberg (also an attorney with Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.) sent a draft of the above-captioned patent application to our employer for our review. A copy of the email communicating the draft is attached as Exhibit D.
- 10) On August 27, 2001 Ross Dannenberg sent a revised draft of the above-captioned patent application. A copy of the email communicating the revised draft is attached as Exhibit E.
- 11) On September 4, 2001, the above-captioned patent application was filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- 12) The submission of the invention disclosure (Exhibit A) to our Internal Patent Committee, the registration of our invention report (Exhibit B) with the Committee and the processing of the invention report through the Internal Patent

Serial No. 09/944,165

- 3 -

Atty. Dkt. No. 004770.00018

Committee's patent filing system demonstrate diligence from before March 30, 2001 until the filing of the above-captioned patent application and the constructive reduction to practice of our invention.

- 13) All acts referred to in this Declaration were performed either in the United States, or in a WTO member country.
- 14) The attached Exhibits have not been altered since they were originally submitted to the Patent Committee or otherwise prepared or communicated and any marginalia on the exhibits was contemporaneously written upon receipt of the exhibit in question; and
- 15) We declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the United States of America that statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Respectfully submitted; ---

\_\_\_\_\_  
Miika Silfverberg

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Panu Korhonen

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

  
Ian Scott MacKenzie

June 17, 2004  
Date